

REMARKS

The Examiner is thanked for the continued efforts in this application. However, in view of the foregoing amendments to claim 1, the Examiner is respectfully urged to reconsider and withdraw the outstanding rejection.

In the Advisory Action, the Examiner alleges that *Buell* discloses a landing material which meets the terms of the claims, since the landing zone of the instant claim fails to define any spatial boundaries for the landing zone. The Examiner acknowledges that the skewing preventing part of *Buell* is located laterally, albeit above, the landing zone.

In view of the comments of the Examiner, claim 1 has been amended to clarify that the at least one skewing preventing part is positioned in line with the landing zone, as viewed in the lateral direction of the absorbent product. This is clearly not taught by *Buell* which, as acknowledged by the Examiner, discloses reference numeral 46 that is not in lateral alignment with the landing zone 44.

Accordingly, in view of the foregoing amendment, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejection of the claims based on the *Buell* reference.

New claim 11 has been added, which reinstates the subject matter of canceled claim 2, which has also been deleted from claim 1.

Attorney's Docket No. 000500-276

Application No. 09/995,585

Page 7

In the event that there are any questions concerning this Amendment, or the application in general, the Examiner is respectfully urged to telephone the undersigned attorney so that prosecution of the application may be expedited.

Respectfully submitted,

BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.

Date: February 23, 2004

By: William C. Rowland
William C. Rowland
Registration No. 30,888

P.O. Box 1404
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404
(703) 836-6620